UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/596,703	06/22/2006	Anthony Morel	BWAC-30542	9744
27883 GRADY K. BE	7590 08/17/201 RGEN	EXAMINER		
3333 LEE PAR	KWAY	MCCORMICK, GABRIELLE A		
SUITE 600 DALLAS, TX 7	75219	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

MAIL@INVENTLEGAL.COM MAIL@GKBERGENLAW.COM

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/596,703	MOREL, ANTHONY		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Gabrielle McCormick	3629		

	Gabrielle McCormick	3629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 August 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperior Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of A replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar ., or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: tension and the corresponding amount of thortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🔯 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	out prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered be	Cause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	-	cted claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	* **	mpliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		(-	/.
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	· ———	imely filed ame n dmer	nt canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: <u>17 and 26</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>17-26</u> .		be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea , and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fails e 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a).
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		-	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other: See Continuation Sheet. 	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Jamisue A. Plucinski/	/G. M./		
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3629	Examiner, Art Unit 3629		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No. 10/596,703

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

Applicant's amendment to claim 21 does not overcome the previous rejection under 101 because the step of updating the profile on the apparatus is considered to be insufficient recitation of a machine.

Firstly, the apparatus is generically recited such that it covers any machine capable of storing a profile. Secondly, the steps of determining the alert level and weighting the feedback information are core to the performance of the method and are not recited as being performed by a particular machine.

With respect to amendments to claim 26, these require further consideration of whether new matter has been added as the specification and figures do not recite any structure that is clearly identified as a receiver, processor or updater.

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant has requested consideration of documents listed in the Internation Search Report filed on June 22, 2006. The Examiner notes that copies of the references cited on the search report were not included, therefore considersation of the documents is precluded.